AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Lazaro Cardenas-Sanchez	Case No. 1:15-cr-00100-RHB
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing unde defendant be detained pending trial.	r the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Part I – Findings of Fact
(1)		se described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ocal offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 1 which the prison term is 10 years	8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for or more.
	an offense for which the maximum	n sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum p	orison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or com	
	any felony that is not a crime of violation and felony that is not a crime of violation and felony the possession or use a failure to register un	e of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)		committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
(4)		uttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that t	
	for which a maximum prison term Controlled Substances Act (21 U. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the pres	sumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions appearance and the safety of the community.
,		Alternative Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendan	
(2)		nt will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		tatement of the Reasons for Detention ubmitted at the detention hearing establishes by ✓ clear and convincing
evidence 1. Defe	a preponderance of the evidence the ndant waived his detention hearing, election hearing and with the subject to an ICE detainer and the subject to an ICE d	nat: ing not to contest detention at this time.
		ng detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
	Part II	I – Directions Regarding Detention
٦		of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a
correction appeal. States Co	ns facility separate, to the extent practical The defendant must be afforded a reason	ole, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending able opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United overnment, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the
Date:	June 16, 2015	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge